arXiv:math/0701239v2 [math.SP] 1 Jul 2008

Distributions of length multiplicities for negatively curved locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds

Yasufumi Hashimoto

Abstract

The aim of the present paper is to study the distributions of the length multiplicities for negatively curved locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds. In Theorem 2.1, we give upper bounds of the length multiplicities and the square sums of them for general (not necessarily compact) cases. Furthermore in Theorem 2.3, we obtain more precise estimates of the length multiplicities and the power sums of them for arithmetic surfaces whose fundamental groups are congruence subgroups of the modular group.

1 Introduction

The length spectrum, the set of the lengths of primitive closed geodesics with their multiplicities, is one on important factors to characterize manifolds. In fact, Huber [Hu] proved that the condition that two compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$ have same length spectra is equivalent that the Laplacian of these surfaces have same eigenvalues. Such a relation between the length spectrum and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian is explicitly drawn in the Selberg trace formula (see, e.g. [Se] and [He]). P. Schmutz [Sc] furthermore found a characterization of the arithmeticity of non-compact volume finite Riemann surfaces by the length spectrum without multiplicities. For the multiplicity in the length spectrum, i.e. the length multiplicity, it was proved in [Ra] that the length multiplicities for Riemann surfaces are unbounded (see also [Bu]). However the detail distributions of the length multiplicities have never been known.

The aim of the present paper is to study the distributions of the length multiplicities for locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds with the constant negative curvature. According to the prime geodesic theorem (see, e.g. [He] and [GW]), we can get the asymptotic formula of the sum of the multiplicities. In Theorem 2.1 of this paper, we give an upper bound of the length multiplicities for general volume finite (not necessarily compact) negatively curved locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds by using known estimates of

---

MSC: primary: 11M36; secondary: 11F72

Keywords: length spectrum, length multiplicity, prime geodesic theorem, Selberg's zeta function

the error terms of the prime geodesic theorem. We furthermore obtain a sharper upper bound of the square sum of the length multiplicities than that trivially obtained from the prime geodesic theorem and the upper bound of the length multiplicities. When the fundamental group of the Riemann surface is a congruence subgroup of the modular group, we can get more precise estimates of the length multiplicities because the length multiplicities can be expressed by the class numbers of the primitive indefinite binary quadratic forms (see [Sa1], [H1] and [H2]). In fact for the surface whose fundamental group is the modular group, Bogomolny-Leyvraz-Schmit [BLS] and Peter [Pe2] obtained the leading term of the asymptotic behavior of the square sum of the multiplicities. In Theorem 2.3, we give sharper estimates of the length multiplicities than that obtained in Theorem 2.1 and get precise asymptotic formulas of the power sums of the length multiplicities for arithmetic surfaces whose fundamental groups are congruence subgroups of the modular group.

2 Preliminaries and the main results

Let G be a connected non-compact semisimple Lie group with finite center, and K a maximal compact subgroup of G. We put $d = \dim(G/K)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{k}$ the Lie algebras of G, K respectively and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ a Cartan decomposition with respect to the Cartan involution θ. Let $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$. Throughout this paper we assume that $\mathrm{rank}(G/K) = 1$, namely $\dim \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p} = 1$. We extend $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ to a θ-stable maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, so that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{k}$, where $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{k}$. We put $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$, $A_\mathfrak{p} = \exp \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ and $A_\mathfrak{k} = \exp \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{k}$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{a}^\mathbb{C}$ the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{a}$ respectively. Let Φ be the set of roots of $(\mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{a}^\mathbb{C})$, Φ^+ the set of positive roots in Φ, $P_+ = \{\alpha \in \Phi^+ | \alpha \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}\}$, and $P_- = \Phi^+ - P_+$. We put $\rho = 1/2 \sum_{\alpha \in P_+} \alpha$. For $h \in A$ and linear form λ on $\mathfrak{a}$, we denote by ξ_λ the character of $\mathfrak{a}$ given by $\xi_\lambda(h) = \exp \lambda(\log h)$. Let Σ be the set of restrictions to $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ of the elements of P_+. Then the set Σ is either of the form $\{\beta\}$ or $\{\beta, 2\beta\}$ with some element $\beta \in \Sigma$. We fix an element $H_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\beta(H_0) = 1$, and put $\rho_0 = \rho(H_0)$. We note that

$$2\rho_0 = \begin{cases} m-1, \\ 2m, \\ 4m+2, \\ 22, \end{cases} \qquad d = \begin{cases} m & (G = \mathrm{SO}(m,1), m \geq 2), \\ 2m & (G = \mathrm{SU}(m,1), m \geq 2), \\ 4m & (G = \mathrm{SP}(m,1), m \geq 1), \\ 16 & (G = F_4). \end{cases}$$

Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G such that the volume of $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash G/K$ is finite. We denote by $\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)$ the set of primitive hyperbolic conjugacy classes of Γ, and $Z(\Gamma)$ the center of Γ. For $\gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)$, we denote by $h(\gamma)$ an element of A which is conjugate to γ, and $h_\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)$, $h_\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$ the elements of $A_\mathfrak{p}$, $A_\mathfrak{k}$ respectively such that $h(\gamma) = h_\mathfrak{p}(\gamma) h_\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$. Let $N(\gamma)$ be the norm of γ given by $N(\gamma) = \exp(\beta(\log(h_\mathfrak{p}(\gamma))))$, $\mathrm{Norm}(\Gamma) := \{N(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)\}$ and $m(N)$ the number of $\gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)$ such that $N(\gamma) = N$. Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)$ and the primitive closed geodesics of X_Γ and the length of the prime geodesic corresponding to $\gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)$ coincides $\log N(\gamma)$, the length spectrum can be identified to $\{(N, m(N))\}_{N \in \mathrm{Norm}(\Gamma)}$. We also denote by

$$\pi_\Gamma^{(k)}(x) := \sum_{\substack{N \in \mathrm{Norm}(\Gamma) \\ N < x}} m(N)^k.$$

We define the Selberg zeta function as follows.

$$Z_\Gamma(s) := \prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)} \prod_{\lambda \in L} (1 - \xi_\lambda(h(\gamma))^{-1} N(\gamma)^{-s})^{m_\lambda} \quad \mathrm{Re} s > 2\rho_0,$$

where L is the semi-lattice of linear forms on $\mathfrak{a}$ given by $L := \{\sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i \alpha_i \mid \alpha_i \in P_+, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ and m_λ is the number of distinct $(m_1, \cdots, m_l) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^l$ such that $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i \alpha_i \in L$. We note that the logarithmic derivative of the above is written as follows.

$$\frac{Z_\Gamma'(s)}{Z_\Gamma(s)} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma), k \geq 1} \log N(\gamma) D(\gamma^k)^{-1} N(\gamma)^{-ks} \quad \mathrm{Re} s > 2\rho_0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $D(\gamma) := \prod_{\alpha \in P_+} |1 - \xi_\alpha(h(\gamma))^{-1}|$. For simplicity, we assume that Γ has no elements of finite order, other than those in $Z(\Gamma)$. Let ν be the number of equivalence classes of Γ-cuspidal minimal parabolic subgroups of G, and $\Psi(s)$ the scattering matrix with the determinant $\psi(s)$. We denote by $\mu(s)$ the Plancherel measure of G/K. Let $\lambda_j = \rho_0^2 + r_j^2$ be the eigenvalue of the Laplacian on $\Gamma \backslash G/K$ such that $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \ldots$, and m_j the multiplicity of λ_j. It is known that

$$\sum_{|r_j| < T} m_j - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{\psi'}{\psi}(\rho_0 + it) dt = C_G \mathrm{vol}(X_\Gamma) T^d + O(T^{d-1}) \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

where $C_G > 0$ is a constant depending on G (see [Re]).

Due to the Selberg trace formula, we see that the Selberg zeta function is analytically continued to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ as a meromorphic function with the following singular points σ of order $m(\sigma)$ (see [Ga] for compact cases, [GW] for noncompact cases without $G = \mathrm{SU}(2n, 1)$ and [Ay] for noncompact cases including $G = \mathrm{SU}(2n, 1)$).

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma & m(\sigma) \\
2\rho_0 & 1 \\
\rho_0 & (\nu - \mathrm{tr}(\Psi(0)))/2 \\
0 & 1 - i\mathrm{vol}(X_\Gamma)|Z(\Gamma)|d_0 \\
\rho_0 - k & \nu \\
\rho_0 + ia_k & i\mathrm{vol}(X_\Gamma)|Z(\Gamma)|d_k \\
\rho_0 \pm ir_j & m_j \\
\rho_0 + q_k & b_k,
\end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

where a_k is the pole of $\mu(s)$ in $\{\mathrm{Im}s > 0\}$ with the residue d_k and q_k is the pole of $\psi'(s)/\psi(s)$ in $\{\mathrm{Re}s > 0\}$ with the residue b_k. We denote by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{RZ} :=&\{2\rho_0, \rho_0, \rho_0 - k, \rho_0 + ia_k, \rho_0 \pm ir_j(0 < \lambda_j < \rho_0^2)\},\\ \mathrm{IZ} :=&\{\rho_0 \pm ir_j(\lambda_j > \rho_0^2), \rho_0 + q_k\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is known that $\mathrm{RZ} \subset \mathbb{R}_{\le 2\rho_0}$, $\mathrm{IZ} \subset \{0 < \mathrm{Re}\sigma \le \rho_0\}$. From (2.2), we see that

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma\in\mathrm{IZ}\\ |\mathrm{Im}\sigma|<T}} m(\sigma) = C_G \mathrm{vol}(X_\Gamma)T^d + O(T^{d-1}). \tag{2.4}$$

From the contributions of the singular points, we see that the prime geodesic theorem is drawn as follows (see [He] and [Iw] for $d = 2$, [EGM] and [Na] for $d = 3$ and [Ga], [GW] and [De] for general cases).

$$\pi_\Gamma^{(1)}(x) = \mathrm{li}_1(x^{2\rho_0}) + \sum_{0<\lambda_j<\rho_0^2} \mathrm{li}_1(x^{\rho_0+ir_j}) + O\big(x^{2\rho_0\eta}\big) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathrm{li}_k(x) := \int_2^x (\log t)^{-k} dt$ and $1 - 1/2d \le \eta < 1$. The main result of the present paper is as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G such that $\mathrm{vol}(X_\Gamma) < \infty$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$m(N) \ll N^{2\rho_0\eta} \quad \textit{as} \quad N \to \infty, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\pi_\Gamma^{(2)}(x) \ll x^{2\rho_0\left(2-\frac{1}{2d-1}\right)+\epsilon} \quad \textit{as} \quad x \to \infty, \tag{2.7}$$

where η is given in (2.5).

Remark 2.2. *In the case of $G = \mathrm{SO}(2,1) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, the coefficient η is taken as $\eta = 3/4$ (see [He] and [Iw]). Then, from Theorem 2.1, we have*

$$\pi_\Gamma^{(k)}(x) \ll x^{\frac{3}{4}k+\frac{1}{6}+\epsilon},$$

for $k \ge 2$. Recently, Park [Pa] improved the essential error term in (2.5) *to*

$$O\big(x^{\frac{3}{4}(d-1)}(\log x)^{-1/2}\big)$$

for $G = \mathrm{SO}(d,1)$. This result gives better estimates of $m(N)$ and $\pi_\Gamma^{(2)}(x)$ than those given in Theorem 2.1 for $G = \mathrm{SO}(d,1)$ with $d \ge 3$.

When $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and Γ is a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the length multiplicity can be expressed by the sum of the class numbers of the primitive indefinite quadratic forms (see [Sa1], [H1] and [H2]). Using such expressions of the multiplicities by the class numbers for $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, Bogomolny-Leyvraz-Schmit [BLS] and Peter [Pe2] proved that

$$\pi_\Gamma^{(2)}(x) \sim c_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}^{(2)} \mathrm{li}_k(x^{3/2}) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty$$

with the determination of the constant $c_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}^{(2)} > 0$. In the present paper, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 2.3. *Let* Γ *be a congruence subgroup of* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$*. Then, for any* $\epsilon > 0$*, we have*

$$N^{1/2-\epsilon} \ll m(N) \ll N^{1/2} \log N \quad \textit{as} \quad N \to \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

For any $k \geq 2$*, there exists a constant* $c_{\Gamma}^{(k)} > 0$ *such that*

$$\pi_{\Gamma}^{(k)}(x) \sim c_{\Gamma}^{(k)} \mathrm{li}_k(x^{\frac{k+1}{2}}) \quad \textit{as} \quad x \to \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

It is trivial that $m(N) \leq \pi_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(N+1) - \pi_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(N-1)$. Then by the prime geodesic theorem, we easily get $m(N) \ll N^{2\rho_0(1-1/2d)}$. In order to estimate $\pi_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(x)$, we prepare the following notations and lemmas.

Let $v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ be a function satisfying $0 \leq v(x) \leq 1$ for any $x > 0$ and

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & (0 < x \leq 1), \\ 0 & (x \geq \min\{N \in \mathrm{Norm}(\Gamma)\} =: \hat{N}). \end{cases}$$

For $w(s) := \int_0^{\infty} -v'(x) x^s dx$, we see that, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$w(s) = O\Big(|s|^{-n} e^{\max(\mathrm{Re} s, 0)}\Big) \quad \text{as} \quad |s| \to \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

where the implied constant depends on n. We first state the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $X > 0$ *be a large number. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma), k \geq 1} \log N(\gamma) D(\gamma^k)^{-1} N(\gamma)^{-ks} v\Big(\frac{N(\gamma^k)}{X}\Big) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{RZ} \cup \mathrm{IZ}} m(\sigma) \frac{X^{\sigma - s} - 1}{\sigma - s} w(\sigma - s).$$

Proof. We calculate the following integral.

$$J(X,s) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathrm{Re} z = c} \frac{Z_{\Gamma}'(z+s)}{Z_{\Gamma}(z+s)} \frac{X^z - 1}{z} w(z) dz.$$

First we have

$$\begin{aligned} & J(X,s) \\ & = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma), k \geq 1} \log N(\gamma) D(\gamma^k)^{-1} N(\gamma)^{-ks} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathrm{Re} z = c} \Big\{ \Big(\frac{X}{N(\gamma)^k} \Big)^z - N(\gamma)^{-kz} \Big\} \frac{w(z)}{z} dy \\ & = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma), k \geq 1} \log N(\gamma) D(\gamma^k)^{-1} N(\gamma)^{-ks} \Big\{ v\Big(\frac{N(\gamma)^k}{X} \Big) - v(N(\gamma)^k) \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of v, we have

$$J(X,s)=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma),k\geq 1}\log N(\gamma)D(\gamma^k)^{-1}N(\gamma)^{-ks}v\Big(\frac{N(\gamma)^k}{X}\Big). \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, by the residue theorem, we have

$$J(X,s)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{RZ}\cup\mathrm{IZ}}m(\sigma)\frac{X^{\sigma-s}-1}{\sigma-s}w(\sigma-s). \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, the lemma follows immediately. $\square$

For simplicity, we express the formula in Lemma 3.1 as $G(s,X)=I(s,X)$. Now we take the integral $\int_{-1+iT}^{-1+2iT}|*|^2ds$ of the both hand sides. We get the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $T>0$ be a large number and*

$$\phi_u(X):=\sum_{N\in\mathrm{Norm}(\Gamma)}m(N)^2(\log N)^2N^uv\Big(\frac{N}{X}\Big)^2.$$

Then we have

$$\int_T^{2T}|G(-1+it,X)|^2dt=T\phi_2(X)+O\big(T\phi_1(X)\big)+O(TX^{3\rho_0+3/2})+O(X^{4\rho_0+2}).$$

Proof. Directly calculating the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_T^{2T}|G(-1+it,X)|^2dt=&\sum_{\substack{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)\\ k_1,k_2\geq 1}}\log N(\gamma_1)\log N(\gamma_2)D(\gamma_1^{k_1})^{-1}D(\gamma_2^{k_2})^{-1}\\ &\times N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}v\Big(\frac{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}}{X}\Big)v\Big(\frac{N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}}{X}\Big)\int_T^{2T}\Big(\frac{N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}}{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}}\Big)^{it}dt.\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of D, we see that $D(\gamma)^{-1}=1+O(N(\gamma)^{-1})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_T^{2T}|G(-1+it,X)|^2dt\\ =&\sum_{\substack{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)\\ k_1,k_2\geq 1}}\log N(\gamma_1)\log N(\gamma_2)\Big(N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}+O\big(N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}\big)+O\big(N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}\big)\Big)\\ &\times v\Big(\frac{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}}{X}\Big)v\Big(\frac{N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}}{X}\Big)\int_T^{2T}\Big(\frac{N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}}{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}}\Big)^{it}dt.\end{aligned}$$

We divide the sum above as follows.

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)\\ k_1,k_2\geq 1}}=\sum_{\substack{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)\\ N(\gamma_1)=N(\gamma_2)}}+\sum_{\substack{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)\\ \text{either } k\neq 1 \text{ or } k_2\neq 1\\ N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}=N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}}}+\sum_{\substack{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)\\ k\geq 1,,k_2\geq 1\\ N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}\neq N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}}}=:S_1+S_2+S_3.$$

The first sum is written by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 =&T \sum_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)} \big(\log N(\gamma)\big)^2 \Big(N(\gamma)^2 + O\big(N(\gamma)\big)\Big) v\Big(\frac{N(\gamma)}{X}\Big)^2 \\ =&T \sum_{N\in\mathrm{Norm}(\Gamma)} (\log N)^2 \big(N^2+O(N)\big) v\Big(\frac{N}{X}\Big)^2 \sum_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma), N(\gamma_1)=N(\gamma_2)=N} 1 \\ =&T \sum_{N\in\mathrm{Norm}(\Gamma)} (\log N)^2 \big(N^2+O(N)\big) v\Big(\frac{N}{X}\Big)^2 m(N)^2 = T\phi_2(X) + O\big(T\phi_1(X)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Next, the second sum is estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 \leq& T \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)\\ \text{either } k\neq 1 \text{ or } k_2\neq 1\\ N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}=N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}<\hat{N}X}} \log N(\gamma_1)\log N(\gamma_2) N(\gamma_1)^{k_1} N(\gamma_2)^{k_2} \\ \leq& T \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<\hat{N}X\\ k_1>1}} \log N(\gamma_1) O\big(N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}\big) \Bigg) \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}<\hat{N}X\\ k_2\geq 1}} \log N(\gamma_2) O\big(N(\gamma_2^{k_2})\big) \Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Then by the prime geodesic theorem (2.5), we have

$$S_2 = TO(X^{\rho_0+1/2}) \times O(X^{2\rho_0+1}) = O(TX^{3\rho_0+3/2}). \tag{3.5}$$

Finally, we estimate S_3.

$$\begin{aligned} |S_3| \leq& \sum_{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}<\hat{N}X} \log N(\gamma_1)\log N(\gamma_2) O\big(N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}\big) \\ &\times \frac{\big|\sin 2T\log\big(N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}/N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}\big) - \sin T\log\big(N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}/N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}\big)\big|}{\log\big(N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}/N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}\big)} \\ \leq& \sum_{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}<\hat{N}X} \log N(\gamma_1)\log N(\gamma_2) \frac{O\big(N(\gamma_1)^{2k_1}N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}\big)}{N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}-N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Divide the sum above by

$$\sum_{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}<\hat{N}X} = \sum_{\substack{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<\hat{N}X\\ N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}<\min\big(2N(\gamma_1)^{k_1},\hat{N}X\big)}} + \sum_{\substack{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<\hat{N}X\\ 2N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}<\hat{N}X}} =: S_{31}+S_{32}.$$

We estimate the later sum as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{32} =& \sum_{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<\hat{N}X} \log N(\gamma_1) \sum_{2N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}<\hat{N}X} \log N(\gamma_2) \frac{O\big(N(\gamma_1)^{2k_1}N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}\big)}{N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}-N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}} \\ \leq& \sum_{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<\hat{N}X} \log N(\gamma_1) O\big(N(\gamma_1)^{2k_1}\big) \sum_{2N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}<\hat{N}X} \log N(\gamma_2) O\big(N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}\big) \\ =&O(X^{4\rho_0+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, the former sum is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{32} &\leq \sum_{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<\hat{N}X} \log N(\gamma_1) \sum_{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}<2N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}} \log N(\gamma_2) \frac{O\big(N(\gamma_1)^{2k_1}N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}\big)}{N(\gamma_2)^{k_2}-N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{N(\gamma_1)^{k_1}<\hat{N}X} \log N(\gamma_1) O\big(N(\gamma_1)^{k_1(2\rho_0+2)}\big) = O(X^{4\rho_0+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $U>0$ be a number which is sufficiently smaller that T. Then, for any $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^{2T} |I(-1+it,X)|^2 dt =& O(T^{-2n+1}X^{4\rho_0+2}) + O(T^{-n+d+1}X^{3\rho_0+2}) \\ &+ O(T^{2d}U^{-n}X^{2\rho_0+2}) + O(T^{2d-1}UX^{2\rho_0+2}), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constants depend on n.

Proof. From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I(-1+it,X) \\ =& \sum_{\substack{\sigma\in \mathrm{IZ} \\ \sigma=\alpha+i\beta}} m(\sigma) O\Big(X^{\alpha+1}|1+\alpha+i(\beta-t)|^{-n}\Big) + \sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{RZ}} m(\sigma) O\Big(X^{\max(\sigma+1,0)}|1+\sigma+it)|^{-n}\Big) \\ =& \sum_{\substack{\sigma\in \mathrm{IZ} \\ \sigma=\alpha+i\beta}} m(\sigma) O\Big(X^{\rho_0+1}|1+i(\beta-t)|^{-n}\Big) + O(X^{2\rho_0+1}|t|^{-n}) \\ =:& I_1(t,X) + I_2(t,X). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_T^{2T} |I_2(t,X)|^2 dt = O(X^{4\rho_0+2}T^{-2n+1}). \tag{3.8}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{2T} \Big(I_1(t,X)\bar{I}_2(t,X) + \bar{I}_1(t,X)I_2(t,X)\Big) dt \\ =& \sum_{T/2<\beta<3T} m(\sigma) X^{3\rho_0+1} \int_T^{2T} O\Big(X|t|^{-n}|1+i(\beta-t)|^{-n}\Big) dt \\ &+ \sum_{\text{other } \sigma} m(\sigma) X^{3\rho_0+1} \int_T^{2T} O\Big(X|t|^{-n}|1+i(\beta-t)|^{-n}\Big) dt \\ =& \sum_{T/2<\beta<3T} m(\sigma) X^{3\rho_0+1} \big\{O(XT^{-n+1})\big\} + \sum_{\text{other } \sigma} m(\sigma) X^{3\rho_0+1} \big\{O(X\beta^{-n}T^{-n+1})\big\} \\ =& O(T^{-n+d+1}X^{3\rho_0+2}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Finally, we estimate

$$\int_T^{2T} |I_1(t,X)|^2 dt = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1=\alpha_1+i\beta_1 \\ \sigma_2=\alpha_2+i\beta_2}} \int_T^{2T} O\Big(X^{2\rho_0+2}|1+i(\beta_1-t)|^{-n}|1-i(\beta_2-t)|^{-n}\Big)dt.$$

We divide the sum as follows.

$$\sum_{\beta_1,\beta_2} = \sum_{\substack{T/2<\beta_1,\beta_2<3T \\ |\beta_1-\beta_2|<U}} + \sum_{\substack{T/2<\beta_1,\beta_2<3T \\ |\beta_1-\beta_2|\geq U}} + \sum_{\text{other } \sigma} =: L_1+L_2+L_3.$$

It is easy to see that $L_3 = O(X^{2\rho_0+2})$. The second sum L_2 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 = & \sum_{T/2<\beta_1<3T} \sum_{\substack{T/2<\beta_2<3T \\ |\beta_1-\beta_2|>U}} \int_T^{2T} O\Big(X^{2\rho_0+2}|1+i(\beta_1-t)|^{-n}|1-i(\beta_2-t)|^{-n}\Big)dt \\ =& O\Bigg(X^{2\rho_0+2} \sum_{T/2<\beta_1<3T} \sum_{\substack{T/2<\beta_2<3T \\ |\beta_1-\beta_2|>U}} |2+i(\beta_1-\beta_2)|^{-n} \\ & \times \int_T^{2T} \big(|1+i(\beta_1-t)|^{-1}+|1-i(\beta_2-t)|^{-1}\big)^n dt\Bigg) \\ =& O\Bigg(X^{2\rho_0+2} \sum_{T/2<\beta_1<3T} \sum_{T/2<\beta_2<3T} U^{-n}\Bigg) = O\big(T^{2d}U^{-n}X^{2\rho_0+2}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We also have

$$L_1 = O\Bigg(X^{2\rho_0+2} \sum_{T/2<\beta_1<3T} \sum_{\beta_1-U<\beta_2<\beta_1+U} 1\Bigg) = O\big(T^{2d-1}UX^{2\rho_0+2}\big). \tag{3.11}$$

Thus we obtain the result of Lemma 3.3. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. From Lemma 3.2 and 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2(X) + O\big(\phi_1(X)\big) =& O(T^{-1}X^{4\rho_0+2}) + O(X^{3\rho_0+3/2}) + O(T^{-n+d}X^{3\rho_0+2}) \\ & + O(T^{2d-1}U^{-n}X^{2\rho_0+2}) + O(T^{2d-2}UX^{2\rho_0+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $U = T^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ and $T = X^{\frac{2\rho_0}{2d-1}}$, we obtain

$$\phi_2(X) = O\Big(X^{2\rho_0\left(2-\frac{1}{2d-1}\right)+\frac{1}{n+1}+2}\Big).$$

By the definition of $\phi_u(X)$, we see that

$$\pi_\Gamma^{(2)}(X) \ll \sum_{N\in\mathrm{Norm}(\Gamma)} m(N)^2(\log N)^2 v\Big(\frac{N}{X}\Big)^2 \ll X^{-2}\phi_2(X).$$

Since $n \geq 1$ can be taken arbitrary, we get the desired result. □

4 Proof of Theorem 2.3

We first prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *([H1] and [H2]) Let* Γ *be a congruence subgroup of* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *and*

$$\hat{m}(N) := \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma), l \geq 1 \\ N(\gamma)^l = N}} \log N(\gamma).$$

Then we have

$$\hat{m}(N) = \sum_{u | U(t_N)} 2 j_{t_N,u}^{-1} \log \epsilon(t_N) M_\Gamma(t_N, u) h(d_{t_N,u}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} t_N :=& N^{1/2} + N^{-1/2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}, \\ \epsilon(t_N) :=& N^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(t_N + \sqrt{t_N^2 - 4}\Big), \\ U(t) :=& \max\Big\{u \geq 1 \;\Big|\; \frac{t^2-4}{u^2} \equiv 0, 1 \bmod 4\Big\}, \\ d_{t,u} :=& \frac{t^2-4}{u^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$h(d)$ *is the class number of the primitive indefinite binary quadratic forms of discriminant* $d > 0$ *and* $j_{t,u} \geq 1$ *is an integer such that* $\epsilon(t)$ *is the* $j_{t,u}$*-th power of the fundamental unit of* $d_{t,u}$*. The number* $M_\Gamma(t,u)$ *is taken in* $0 \leq M_\Gamma(t,u) \leq [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]$ *for any* (t,u) *and* $M_\Gamma(t,u) = [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]$ *when* $\Gamma \supset \Gamma(K)$*, the principal congruence subgroup, and* (t,u) *satisfies* $t \equiv \pm 2 \bmod K^2$ *and* $K \mid u$*.*

Remark 4.2. *According to [Sa1], we see that if* $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *then* $M_\Gamma(t,u) = 1$ *for any* (t,u)*. Also when* Γ *is one of*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0(K) :=& \{(\gamma_{ij}) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma_{21} \equiv 0 \bmod K\}, \\ \Gamma_1(K) :=& \{(\gamma_{ij}) \in \Gamma_0(K) \mid \gamma_{11} \equiv \gamma_{22} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod K\}, \\ \Gamma(K) :=& \{(\gamma_{ij}) \in \Gamma_1(K) \mid \gamma_{12} \equiv 0 \bmod K\}, \end{aligned}$$

the values of $M_\Gamma(t,u)$ *are explicitly determined in [H1].*

According to Lemma 4.1 and the class number formula $\log \epsilon(d) h(d) = \sqrt{d} L(1.\chi_d)$ where $\epsilon(d)$ is the fundamental unit of discriminant $d > 0$ and

$$L(1, \chi_d) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{d}{n}\Big) n^{-1},$$

we get the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* Γ *be a congruence subgroup of* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *and*

$$\Psi_{\Gamma}^{(k)}(T) := \sum_{\substack{N\in \mathrm{Norm}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))\\ N<T^2}} \left(\frac{\hat{m}(N)}{N^{1/2}-N^{-1/2}}\right)^k .$$

Then we have

$$\Psi_{\Gamma}^{(k)}(T) = \sum_{3\le t\le T} \left(\sum_{u|U(t)} u^{-1} L(1,\chi_{d_{t,u}}) M_{\Gamma}(t,u) \right)^k .$$

We also prepare the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. *([Pe1]) For any* $k\ge 1$*, there exists a constant* $c_k>0$ *such that*

$$\sum_{3\le t\le T}\sum_{u|U(t)} \left(u^{-1}L(1,\chi_{d_{t,u}})\right)^k \sim c_k T \quad \textit{as} \quad T\to\infty .$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $K=\prod_{i=1}^{l} p_i^{e_i}$ *be the factorization of* $K\ge 1$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{K,\nu}(T) :=& \{3\le t\le T \mid t\equiv \nu_i \ \textit{mod}\ p_i^{e_i} \ \textit{for any}\ i\},\\ \varphi_{K,\nu}^{(k)}(T) :=& \sum_{t\in \mathcal{T}_{K,\nu}(T)} L(1,\chi_{t^2-4})^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu=(\nu_1,\cdots,\nu_l)\in\{\pm 2\}^l$*. Then there exist a constant* $a_{K,\nu}>0$ *such that* $a_{K,\nu}=O(K^{-2+\epsilon})$ *as* $K\to\infty$ *and*

$$\varphi_{K,\nu}^{(k)}(x) \sim a_{K,\nu} x \quad \textit{as} \quad x\to\infty .$$

Proof. It is easy to see that t^2-4 is divided by K^2 for any $t\in\mathcal{T}_{K,\nu}$ and $f_K(t):=K^{-2}(t^2-4)$ is square free for many $t\in\mathcal{T}_{K,\nu}$. By the definition of the L-function, we see that

$$\varphi_{K,\nu}(T) = \sum_{t\in\mathcal{T}_{K,\nu}} \prod_{p|K} \Big(1-\Big(\frac{f_K(t)}{p}\Big)p^{-1}\Big)^k L(1,\chi_{f_K(t)})^k .$$

Then when we put

$$\hat{\varphi}_{K,\nu}(T) = \sum_{t\in\mathcal{T}_{K,\nu}} L(1,\chi_{f_K(t)})^k ,$$

we have

$$K^{-\epsilon} \ll \varphi_{K,\nu}(T)/\hat{\varphi}_{K,\nu}(T) \ll K^{\epsilon} \quad \text{as} \quad K,T\to\infty \tag{4.1}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Taking an integer $0 \leq \mu \leq K^2$ satisfying $\mu \equiv \nu_i \bmod p_i^{e_i}$ for any i, we can write $\hat{\varphi}_{K,\nu}(T)$ by

$$\hat{\varphi}_{K,\nu}(T) = \sum_{1 \leq t \leq \frac{T+2}{K^2}} L(1, \chi_{f_K(K^2t+\mu)})^k.$$

For simplicity, we denote by $\hat{f}(t) := f_K(K^2t+\mu)$. Now we start the estimation of $\hat{\varphi}_{K,\nu}(T)$.

For a constant $0 < \alpha < 1$ and the integral

$$I(m) := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \Gamma(s-1)L(s,\chi_m)^k x^{s-1} ds,$$

it is easy to see that

$$I(m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{m}{n}\Big)\sigma_k(n)n^{-1}e^{-n/x} - L(1,\chi_m)^k,$$

where $\sigma_k(n) := \#\{(n_1, \cdots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid n_1 \cdots n_k = n\}$. We denote by

$$\hat{I}(x) := \sum_{1 \leq t \leq x} L(1, \chi_{\hat{f}(t)})^k = \sum_{1 \leq t \leq x}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\hat{f}(t)}{n}\Big)\sigma_k(n)n^{-1}e^{-n/x} - \sum_{1 \leq t \leq x} I\big(\hat{f}(t)\big).$$

Similar to Lemma 2.3-2.5 of [Sa2], we can obtain

$$\hat{I}(x) = x\bigg(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{c_{8n}}{8n^2}\bigg)^k + o(x) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$c_{8n} := \sum_{8n \leq t < 16n}\Big(\frac{\hat{f}(t)}{n}\Big).$$

According to (2.4) of [Sa2], we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{8n} =& \left(\sum_{x_0=0}^{2^{e+3}-1}\chi_8(\hat{f}(x_0))^e\right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{l'}\left(\sum_{x_j=0}^{p_j^{e_j-1}}\Big(\frac{\hat{f}(x_j)}{p_j}\Big)^{e_j}\right) \\ =& \left(\sum_{x_0=0}^{2^{e+3}-1}\chi_8(\hat{f}(x_0))^e\right) \times \prod_{e_j \text{ is even}}(p_j-2)p_j^{e_j-1} \times \prod_{e_j \text{ is odd}} p_j^{e_j-1}\left(\sum_{x_j=0}^{p_j-1}\Big(\frac{\hat{f}(x_j)}{p_j}\Big)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $n = 2^e q_1^{e_1} \cdots q_{l'}^{e'_l}$ is the factorization of n and

$$\chi_8(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & (m \equiv 1 \bmod 8), \\ -1 & (m \equiv 5 \bmod 8), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\hat{f}(t) = K^{-2}\big\{(K^2t \pm \mu)^2 - 4\big\} = K^2t^2 + 2\mu t + K^{-2}(\mu^2 - 4),$$

we have

$$\sum_{x_j=0}^{p_j-1} \Big(\frac{\hat{f}(x_j)}{p_j}\Big) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{x_j=0}^{p_j-1} \Big(\frac{\pm x_j}{p_j}\Big) = 0 & (p_j \mid K), \\ \displaystyle\sum_{x_j=0}^{p_j-1} \Big(\frac{x_j^2 - 4}{p_j}\Big) = -1 & (p_j \nmid K), \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{x_0=0}^{2^{e+3}-1} \chi_8(\hat{f}(x_0))^e = \begin{cases} 8 & (e = 0), \\ 0 & (e \neq 0, 2 \mid K), \\ 4(-2)^e & (e \neq 0, 2 \nmid K). \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\left|\frac{c_{8n}}{8n^2}\right| \leq 2^{-e} \times \prod_{e_j \text{ is even}} p_j^{-e_j} \times \prod_{e_j \text{ is odd}} p_j^{-e_j-1}.$$

This means that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{8n}/8n^2$ exists and is bounded for K. Therefore, from (4.1) and (4.2), we can obtain the result of Lemma 4.5. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3. From Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\hat{m}(N) = \sum_{u \mid U(t_N)} 2j_{t_N,u}^{-1} \log \epsilon(t_N) M_\Gamma(t_N, u) h(d_{t_N,u}).$$

It is known (see, e.g. [Mo]) that

$$d^{1/2-\epsilon} \ll \log \epsilon(d) h(d) \ll d^{1/2} \log d.$$

Then we get the following estimate of $\hat{m}(N)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}(N) \ll & [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma] \sum_{u \mid U(t_N)} d_{t,u}^{1/2} \log d_{t,u} \\ \ll & t_N \log t_N \sum_{u \mid U(t_N)} u^{-1} \ll t_N (\log t_N)^2 \ll N^{1/2} (\log N)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition on $m(N)$, we therefore obtain the following upper bound of $m(N)$.

$$m(N) \leq (\log N)^{-1} \hat{m}(N) \ll N^{1/2} \log N. \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{m}(N) \gg & t^{1-\epsilon}\log t_N \sum_{u|U(t_N)} M_\Gamma(t_N,u)u^{-1+\epsilon} \\ \gg & t^{1-\epsilon}\log t_N u_1^{-1+\epsilon},\end{aligned}$$

where $u_1 := \max\{u \geq 1 \mid u \mid U(t_N), M_\Gamma(t_N,u) \neq 0\}$. Since $u_1 \leq K$, we get

$$\hat{m}(N) \gg t_N^{1-\epsilon} \gg N^{1/2-\epsilon}.$$

By the definition of $\hat{m}(N)$, we have

$$\hat{m}(N) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\mathrm{Prim}(\Gamma)\\ N(\gamma)=N}} \log N(\gamma) + O\big(\hat{m}(N^{1/2})\big).$$

Then we obtain the following lower bound of $m(N)$.

$$m(N) \gg (\log N)^{-1}\Big(\hat{m}(N) - O\big(\hat{m}(N^{1/2})\big)\Big) \gg N^{1/2-\epsilon}. \tag{4.4}$$

Remark 4.6. *Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis, since*

$$\frac{d^{1/2}}{\log\log d} \ll \log\epsilon(d)h(d) \ll d^{1/2}\log\log d$$

(see, e.g. [Li]), we can obtain

$$\frac{N^{1/2}}{\log N\log\log N} \ll m(N) \ll N^{1/2}\log\log N.$$

Next, we estimate $\pi_\Gamma^{(k)}(x)$. It is easy to see that the asymptotic formula in Theorem 2.3 is equivalent to

$$\Psi_\Gamma^{(k)}(T) \sim \tilde{c}_\Gamma^{(k)}T \quad \text{as} \quad T\to\infty \tag{4.5}$$

for some $\tilde{c}_\Gamma^{(k)} > 0$. Then we prove (4.5) instead of the asymptotic formula in Theorem 2.3.

1. The case of $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. By using Lemma 4.3 and 4.4, we see that $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}^{(k)}(T) \geq cT$ for some $c > 0$. On the other hand, by lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}^{(k)}(T) &= \sum_{3\leq t\leq T} L(1,\chi_{t^2-4})^k\bigg(\sum_{u|U(t)} u^{-1}\prod_{p|u}\Big(1-\Big(\frac{d_{t,u}}{p}\Big)p^{-1}\Big)^{-1}\bigg)^k \\ &\ll \sum_{3\leq t\leq T}\Big(\log\big(U(t)+1\big)L(1,\chi_{t^2-4})\Big)^k.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\{3 \le t \le T \mid U(t) = K\} \subset \bigcup_{\nu \in \{\pm 2\}^{\omega(K)}} \mathcal{T}_{K,\nu}(T),$$

where $\omega(K)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of K. Then, from Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\Psi^{(k)}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(T) \ll \sum_{K \ge 1} \log\big(K+1\big)^k \sum_{\nu \in \{\pm 2\}^{\omega(K)}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_{K,\nu}(T)} L(1, \chi_{t^2-4})^k \ll \sum_{K \ge 1} 2^{\omega(K)} O(K^{-2+\epsilon} T).$$

Since $\omega(K) \ll \log K$, we get

$$\Psi^{(k)}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(T) \ll \sum_{K \ge 1} O(K^{-2+\log 2+\epsilon} T) = O(T) \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty.$$

Therefore we see that $\Psi^{(k)}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(T) \sim c^{(k)}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} T$ holds for some $c^{(k)}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} > 0$.

2. The case of $\Gamma =$ congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Since $0 \le M_\Gamma(t,u) \le [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]$, by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\Psi^{(k)}_\Gamma(T) \le [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]^k \Psi^{(k)}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(T) \sim [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]^k c^{(k)}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} T.$$

On the other hand, since $M_\Gamma(t,u) = [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]$ for $t \equiv \bmod K^2$ and $K \mid u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{(k)}_\Gamma(T) \ge & [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]^k \sum_{t \equiv \pm 2 \bmod K^2} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{u \mid U(t) \\ K \mid u}} u^{-1} L(1, \chi_{d_{t,u}}) \Bigg)^k \\
= & [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]^k \sum_{t \equiv \pm 2 \bmod K^2} \Bigg(\sum_{u \mid U(t)/K} (Ku)^{-1} L(1, \chi_{d_{t,Ku}}) \Bigg)^k \\
\gg & c_k \sum_{t \equiv \pm 2 \bmod K^2} L(1, \chi_{t^2-4})^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, due to Lemma 4.5, we obtain $\Psi^{(k)}_\Gamma \ge cT$ for some $c > 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. □

5 Concluding remarks

When $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\mathrm{tr}\gamma \mid \gamma \in \mathrm{Prim}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))\} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \ge 3\}, \\
&N(\gamma) = \Big(\frac{1}{2}\big(\mathrm{tr}\gamma + \sqrt{(tr\gamma)^2 - 4}\big)\Big)^2 \sim (\mathrm{tr}\gamma)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we have $\pi^{(0)}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}(x) \sim x^{1/2}$ as $x \to \infty$. Similarly in the case that Γ is a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we see that $\pi^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(x) \sim cx^{1/2}$. Combining these estimates of $\pi^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(x)$ to the prime geodesic theorem $\pi^{(1)}_{\Gamma}(x) \sim \mathrm{li}_1(x)$ and Theorem 2.3, we could think that the growth of $m(N)$ for a congruence subgroup is close to $c\mathrm{li}_1(N^{1/2})$. For general $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, it is known (see [Ta], [LS] and [Sc]) that if Γ is arithmetic then $\pi^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(x) = O(x^{1/2})$. P. Schmutz [Sc] furthermore proved the conversion of this for non-compact cases and conjectured it for compact cases. We now state the following problems.

Problem 1. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.
(i) Is it true that Γ is arithmetic if and only if, for any $k \geq 2$, there exists a constant $c^{(k)}_{\Gamma} > 0$ such that $\pi^{(k)}_{\Gamma}(x) \sim c^{(k)}_{\Gamma}\mathrm{li}_k(x^{\frac{k+1}{2}})$?
(ii) Is it true that Γ is nonarithmetic if and only if $\pi^{(k)}_{\Gamma}(x) = o\bigl(\mathrm{li}_k(x^{\frac{k+1}{2}})\bigr)$ holds for any $k \geq 2$?

Similar phenomenons occur in the case of $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ ($d = 3$ and $\rho_0 = 1$). In fact, when $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ where $\mathcal{O}_K$ is an integer ring of an imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, it is easy to see that $\pi^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(x) \sim cx$. Also Aurich and Marklof ([AM] and [Ma]) numerically computed the length spectrum and the multiplicities for nine co-compact tetrahedral Γ's; eight are arithmetic and one is nonarithmetic. Based on their numerical datas, they suggested that the growth of $m(N)$ is close to $c\mathrm{li}_1(N)$ for the arithmetic cases and is close to $c\mathrm{li}_1(N^b)$ where $b \fallingdotseq 0.643 < 1$ for the nonarithmetic case. Then, similar to the case of $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, we state the following problems.

Problem 2. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.
(i) Is it true that Γ is arithmetic if and only if, for any $k \geq 2$, there exists a constant $c^{(k)}_{\Gamma} > 0$ such that $\pi^{(k)}_{\Gamma}(x) \sim c^{(k)}_{\Gamma}\mathrm{li}_k(x^{k+1})$?
(ii) Is it true that Γ is nonarithmetic if and only if $\pi^{(k)}_{\Gamma}(x) = o\bigl(\mathrm{li}_k(x^{k+1})\bigr)$ holds for any $k \geq 2$?

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor Jinsung Park, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, for telling me his recent result [Pa] of the prime geodesic theomre.

References

[AM] R. Aurich and J. Marklof, *Trace formulae for three-dimensional hyperbolic lattices and application to a strongly chaotic tetrahedral billiard*, Phys. D **92** (1996), 101–129.

[Ay] K. Ayaz, *Selberg zeta functions for cofinite lattices acting on line bundles over complex hyperbolic spaces*, Hiroshima Math. J. **34** (2004), 307–343.

[BLS] E. Bogomolny, F. Leyvraz and C. Schmit, *Distribution of eigenvalues for the modular group*, Commun. Math. Phys. **176** (1996), 575–617.

[Bu] P. Buser, *Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces*, Progress in Math. **106**, Birkhäuser, (1992).

[De] D.L. DeGeorge, *Length spectrum for compact locally symmetric spaces of strictly negative curvature*, Ann. scient. É. Norm. Sup. **10** (1977), 133–152.

[EGM] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald and J. Mennicke, *Discontinuous groups on three-dimensional hyperbolic space: analytical theory and arithmetic applications*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **38** (1983), 119–147.

[Ga] R. Gangolli, *Zeta functions of Selberg's type for compact space forms of symmetric spaces of rank one*, Illinois J. Math. **21** (1977), 1–41.

[GW] R. Gangolli and G. Warner, *Zeta functions of Selberg's type for some noncompact quotients of symmetric spaces of rank one*, Nagoya Math. J. **78** (1980), 1-44.

[H1] Y. Hashimoto, *Arithmetic expressions of Selberg's zeta functions for congruence subgroups*, J. Number Theory, **122** (2007) p.324-335 (math.RT/0409101).

[H2] Y. Hashimoto, *Selberg's zeta functions for congruence subgroups of of modular groups in* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, arXiv.math/0806.4829.

[HW] Y. Hashimoto and M. Wakayama, *Splitting density for lifting about discrete groups*, Tohoku Math. J., **59** (2007), p.527-545 (math.NT/0501284).

[He] D. Hejhal, *The Selberg trace formula of* $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ *I, II*, Springer Lec. Notes in Math. **548, 1001** Springer-Verlag (1976, 1983).

[Hu] H. Huber, *Zur analytischen Theorie hyperbolischer Raumformen und Bewegungsgruppen I, II*, Math. Ann. **138** (1959), 1–26, **142** (1961), 385–398 and **143** (1961), 463–464.

[Iw] H. Iwaniec, *Prime geodesic theorem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **349** (1984), 136-159.

[Li] J. E. Littlewood, *On the class number of the corpus* $P(\sqrt{-k})$, Proc. London Math. Soc. **27** (1928), 358–372.

[LS] W. Luo and P. Sarnak, *Number variance for arithmetic hyperbolic surfaces*, Commun. Math. Phys. **161** (1994), 419–432.

[Ma] J. Markolf, *On multiplicities in length spectra of arithmetic hyperbolic three-orbifolds*, Nonlinearity, **9** (1996), 517–536.

[Mo] R. A. Mollin, *Quadratics*, CRC Press Series on Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications, CRC Press (1995).

[Na] M. Nakasuji, *Prime geodesic theorem via the explicit formula of* Ψ *for hyperbolic* 3*-manifolds*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **77** (2001), 130–133.

[Pa] J. Park, *Prime geodesic theorem for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps*, preprint, 2008.

[Pe1] M. Peter, *Momente der Klassenzahlen binärer quadratischer Formen mit ganzalgebraischen Koeffizienten*, Acta Arith. **70** (1995), 43–77.

[Pe2] M. Peter, *The correlation between multiplicities of closed geodesics on the modular surface*, Commun. Math. Phys. **225** (2002), 171–189.

[Ra] B. Randol, *The length spectrum of a Riemann surface is always of unbounded multiplicity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), 455–456.

[Re] A. Reznikov, *Eisenstein matrix and existence of cusp forms in rank one symmetric spaces*, Geom. and Funct. Anal. **1** (1993), 79-105.

[Sa1] P. Sarnak, *Class numbers of indefinite binary quadratic forms*, J. Number Theory, **15** (1982), 229-247.

[Sa2] P. Sarnak, *Class numbers of indefinite binary quadratic forms II*, J. Number Theory, **21** (1985), 333-346..

[Sc] P. Schmutz, *Arithmetic groups and the length spectrum of Riemann surfaces*, Duke Math. J., **84** (1996), 199-215.

[Se] A. Selberg, *Collected Papers I*, Springer-Verlag (1989).

[Ta] K. Takeuchi, *A characterization of arithmetic Fuchsian groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **27** (1975), 600–612.

[VZ] A. B. Venkov and P. G. Zograf, *Analogues of Artin's factorization formulas in the spectral theory of automorphic functions associated with induced representations of Fuchsian groups*, Math. USSR Izvestiya, **21**(1983), 435-443.

HASHIMOTO, Yasufumi
Institute of Systems and Information Technologies/KYUSHU
7F 2-1-22, Momochihama, Fukuoka 814-0001, JAPAN
hasimoto@isit.or.jp